

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/668,792	CABANA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PHYLLIS SPIVACK	1629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phyllis G. Spivack. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) David Bradin. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 4, 5, 52 and 62.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

**Substance of Interview**  
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner contacted Mr. Bradin to discuss potential new matter issues and amendments to the present claims that would put the present application in condition for allowance. Mr. Bradin agreed to the amendments to the claims, as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Phyllis G. Spivack/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629	
---	--